

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,053	07/06/2005	Akira Nakao	074129-0515	2871
Stephen B Mae	7590 08/08/200 bius	7	EXAM	INER
Foley & Lardner			KRASS, FREDERICK F	
Suite 500 3000 K Street NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
Washington, DC 20007-5109			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/517,053	NAKAO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Frederick Krass	1614			
? Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.			
WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any reply	EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we be reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, a received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u> .	a <u>y 2007</u> .				
•	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) <u></u> Si	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
Cle	osed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
	) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-6 and 18</u> is/are with					
5)□ CI	aim(s) is/are allowed.					
	aim(s) <u>1, 2, 7-17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	aim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) <u> </u>	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application	Papers		•			
9)[ Th	e specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)∐ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.			
•	oplicant may not request that any objection to the					
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)∐ Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12)∏ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	All b) Some * c) None of:					
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2.	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See	e the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)						
_	f References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice o	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
	ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

**Previous Rejections** 

Unless specifically repeated/maintained infra, all previous rejections are withdrawn.

**Obviousness Rejection** 

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459

(1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,053 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 2, 7-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 95/34275.

Contrary to Applicant's arguments, the prior art does teach the use of microcrystalline cellulose. See page 4, lines 13 and 14 which clearly state that "the particulate cellulose may comprise the powdered and/<u>or microcrystalline type</u>." The next paragraph does not discourage the use of microcrystalline cellulose as urged by applicant; rather, it simply describes the difference between powdered cellulose and microcrystalline cellulose, either of which may be used.

Thus, while it is true that the prior art working examples use powdered cellulose, it is also true that the prior art disclosure clearly teaches the use of microcrystalline cellulose as an alternative thereto. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to have used microcrystalline cellulose according to that teaching.

## Action is Final, Necessitated by Amendment

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/517,053

Art Unit: 1614

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick Krass whose telephone number is (571) 272-0580. The examiner can normally be reached at (571) 272-0580 on Monday through Friday from 9:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached at (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/517,053

Art Unit: 1614

Frederick Krass Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1614